

Hoff, David

From: Wright, Rhonda
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 2:15 PM
To: Summerhays, John; Dahl, Donald; Knapp, Ruth; Benjamin, Lynorae; Scofield, Steven; Cervak, Sarah; Medina, Dayana; Gonzalez, Larry; Ostigaard, Crystal; Kelly, Johnj
Subject: RE: Identifying Areas Where We Agree with the State, but Disagree with Public

Thank you John.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process Privilege

From: Summerhays, John
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 3:12 PM
To: Wright, Rhonda; Dahl, Donald; Knapp, Ruth; Benjamin, Lynorae; Scofield, Steven; Cervak, Sarah; Medina, Dayana; Gonzalez, Larry; Ostigaard, Crystal; Kelly, Johnj
Subject: RE: Identifying Areas Where We Agree with the State, but Disagree with Public

Maybe I'd add one,

Non-Responsive

Otherwise ours are all listed on the original slides. They include:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process Privilege

From: Wright, Rhonda
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2013 2:03 PM
To: Dahl, Donald; Knapp, Ruth; Benjamin, Lynorae; Scofield, Steven; Summerhays, John; Cervak, Sarah; Medina, Dayana; Gonzalez, Larry; Ostigaard, Crystal; Kelly, Johnj
Subject: Identifying Areas Where We Agree with the State, but Disagree with Public
Importance: High

Hi all,

For the Janet briefing on next week, I need to identify what additional areas where we are disagreeing with a public commenter, even if we're agreeing with the state, that was not covered during the APM/ADD. If you can identify such areas for your Region, please send those to me by COB today, if possible, and explain what the public commenter requested and why we're disagreeing.

Thank you,

Rhonda